Dietary Influence on Larval Storage Proteins of the Pharaoh's ant, *Monomorium pharaonis* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae)

by

Say-Piau Lim¹, Kenny K-K. Chong², Alexander S-C. Chong², & Chow-Yang Lee¹,³

**ABSTRACT**

This preliminary study reported the influence of dietary protein levels on larval storage proteins of the Pharaoh's ant, *Monomorium pharaonis*. Small *M. pharaonis* colonies of 6 queens and 150 – 200 workers (without the presence of brood) were subjected to either high, normal or limited protein diets and allowed to breed until they became established colonies. Larvae from each colony were sampled and divided according to their stages (L₁ – L₄). The larval homogenates were subjected to silver-stained SDS-PAGE. Results indicated that dietary protein levels affected the patterns of larval storage proteins in *M. pharaonis*. In addition, there appeared to be variation in protein storages among different larval stages. Larger larval stages (L₃ and L₄) were seen to have a higher diversity of proteins and higher absolute protein contents than those of L₁ and L₂. The possible implications of the findings on roles and responsibilities of the *M. pharaonis* larvae in a colony are discussed.
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**INTRODUCTION**

The Pharaoh's ant is one of the world's most important tramp species. They possess specific characters that enable them to spread through human activities and settle successfully far from their original habitat (Børgesen 1995). Tramp ants are species with small sterile workers that are usually monomorphic, widely distributed throughout the world by human activities, and often live in close association with humans. Tramp ants are also polygynous where queens are equally fertile and live in unicolonial colonies. Sociotomy, or budding remains
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to be the main method of colony reproduction instead of nuptial flights (Passera 1994). However, very little information regarding diet and its effects on these ant colonies is available.

An ant colony’s dietary condition might actually have effects on the production of reproducitives. Wheeler & Martinez (1995) found that patterns of resource consumption, storage and use could be an important aspect of caste specialization. A combination of egg enrichment and rich diet induces queen determination (Gösswald & Bier 1954a, 1954b; Wheeler & Martinez 1995). Food supply was also demonstrated to be an important proximate influence on sex investment where fed colonies of *Formica podzolica* were female biased and unfed colonies were male biased (Deslippe & Savolainen 1995).

The body weight of Pharaoh’s ant’s queen was also found to be significantly affected by the presence or absence of larvae (Börjesen & Jensen 1995). In *Leptothorax acevorum*, 93% of the queens’ liquid nourishment was obtained from oral secretions from larvae (Bourke 1991). Wilson (1974) and Tschinkel (1988) also made similar observations in *Leptothorax curvispinosus* and *Solenopsis invicta* respectively.

Numerous studies have shown the importance of larva in regulating colony nutrient flow and distribution (Wilson 1976; Börjesen 1989). In fire ants (*S. invicta*), larvae play an important role in the distribution of food within the colony via different levels of interactions with the workers (Cassill & Tschinkel 1999). Colony fecundity of the Pharaoh’s ant (*Monomorium pharaonis*) was also reported to be dependent on transfer of nutrients from larvae to queens (Börjesen 2000). There seems to be a preference to feed on amino acids as compared to sucrose in fire ant larvae (Cassill & Tschinkel 1999). Important storage proteins needed for colony development and metamorphosis have also been isolated and identified from the larvae of several ant species (Wheeler & Buck 1995). All these point to the importance of elucidating the role of ant larva in the regulation of colony nutrient storage and transfer.

As a prerequisite to further the understanding of nutrient dynamics in Pharaoh’s ant, we report here a study of the effects of different levels of protein supplementation on storage protein patterns in ant larval stages under laboratory conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

This project was conducted with Pharaoh’s ants that have been cultured in the Urban Entomology Laboratory, Vector Control Research Unit, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang, Malaysia since 1995. Ants were separated from the original stock colony into groups of 6 queens...
and 150-200 workers without the presence of broods. Nine similar colonies were prepared in aluminum trays (40 x 24.5 x 8 cm) with fluon-coated inner sides.

Three colonies were subjected to a high-protein dietary treatment by feeding with proteinaceous food such as lobster cockroach (*Nauphoeta cinerea*), tuna fish, and egg yolk daily. Protein foods were given alternately to avoid satiation. Another three colonies were given a limited-protein dietary treatment with proteinaceous food given only once a week. The remaining colonies were treated to a normal protein dietary regime where they were given proteinaceous food similar to those of the stock cultures, once every three days. All colonies were given 10% sucrose solution *ad libitum*.

These experimental colonies were allowed to proliferate before protein sampling. We also identified and distinguished four stages of larvae (*L*₁ to *L*₄) according to size differences, similar to the classification used by Edwards (1986).

Larvae (5-50μg) were separated and carefully placed into Eppendorf® tubes, followed by homogenization in 10 μl of cold deionized distilled water, and subsequent addition of 50 μl of cold distilled water. Homogenate was centrifuged at 13,200 rpm, 4°C for 20 minutes. The resulting supernatant was transferred into a clean Eppendorf® tube and used for analysis. Protein concentration of the supernatant was carried out according to the method described by Bradford (1976). Concentrations were read at 595nm absorbance using a BioRad® Microplate Reader Model 680.

Electrophoretic separation of proteins was carried out using the denaturing SDS-PAGE method (Laemmli 1970). Briefly, supernatant was diluted in sample buffer (60mM Tris-HCl, pH 6.8; 25% glycerol, 10 % sodium dodecil sulphate, 14.4 mM 2-mercaptoethanol and 0.1 % bromophenol blue) at ratio of 5:1 (v/v). This mixture was then vortexed, followed by heating at 100°C for 10 min and centrifugation at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. A total of 5.30 μg protein was loaded in 12.5% SDS-PAGE gel and run at 200 V. Molecular weight markers from 10kDa to 250kDa (BIORAD®) were also loaded for molecular weight estimation of proteins. Gels were then stained with silver nitrate and scanned with a Densitometer GS800 (BIORAD®), followed by documentation and band analysis with Quantity One (BIORAD®) software.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Bradford assay revealed differences in protein content among different larval stages from colonies receiving different dietary protein treatments. Bradford showed that the larvae that were fed high protein rich larval storage protein had increased protein content compared to the limited protein dietary treatment and normal protein dietary treatment. The results also showed a trend where the *L*₂ larval stage had the highest protein content followed by *L*₃, *L*₁, and *L*₄. This suggests that larval storage protein is an important source of protein for larval growth and development.
highest protein content in both limited and high protein treatments as compared to the other 3 stages. The magnitude of this difference was also higher under limited protein supply condition. There did not seem to be any significant differences in protein content between any of the larval stages when an intermediate supply of protein was given.

Electrophoretic profiles (Figs. 1-4) revealed differences in intensity of several bands, indicating changes in protein expression resulting from different dietary protein treatment in respective stages of larvae. Since homogenized crushed larvae were used, our protein profile consisted of mainly structural proteins and hemolymph. Among the two, hemolymph protein content is more dynamic and readily influenced by factors such as developmental processes, temperature, water content and food quality (Mullins 1985; Consoli & Vinson 2002).

Differences in protein expressions resulting from different dietary protein treatments occurred mainly in the molecular weight region of 20kDa - 75kDa for all larval stages. In L₁, L₃ and L₄ stages, expression of several proteins in this region was comparatively lower in colonies receiving limited dietary protein regime. This higher dietary protein
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Fig. 1. Protein profiles of larval stage 1 after being subjected to dietary protein treatments (LP-low, NP-normal, HP-high). Arrows show bands with changed protein expression as a result of different dietary treatments. Green arrows indicate NP bands with the highest protein content.
Fig. 2. Protein profiles of larval stage 2 after being subjected to dietary protein treatments (LP-low, NP-normal, HP-high). Arrows show bands with changed protein expression as a result of different dietary treatments. Green arrows indicate NP bands with the highest protein content, red arrows indicate upregulated protein content and blue arrows indicate downregulated protein content.

expression trend is clearly shown in the L₄ stage, suggesting the role of latter stage larvae in handling protein nutrient regulation within the colony. The role of larger larvae in colonial nutrient distribution has been shown in this species, where queens select large larvae to feed from their stomodeal secretions (Børgesen 1989). The same study also showed that removal of these large larvae resulted in decreased egg production. During our experiments, we observed that the larger larvae were usually the first to feed from foragers returning with food particles before workers distributed the nutrients to the rest of the colony through trophallaxis. We postulate that larger larva helped in digestion and even enrichment of nutrients, which are essential to colonial queens. In fire ants, the foragers are responsible for regulating the flow of food from the environment into the nest while other adults and larvae regulate food distribution inside the nest (Cassill & Tschinkel 1999). More relevantly, numerous studies have shown the ability of
larva to regulate colonial nutrient distribution using various factors such as larval size, hunger level and even food quality as regulators (Cassill & Tschinkel 1995, Cassill et al. 1998).

A clear difference is seen in the L₁ stage, where highest density of protein was obtained with intermediate supply of protein (Fig. 1). This was most probably due to the fact that proteinaceous food given in this study was mostly solid or semi-solid. L₁ were most probably fed with secondary protein after older larvae regurgitated it back to more important members of the colony, i.e. the queens. An earlier experiment demonstrated that solid food primarily went to larger larvae (L₃ and L₄) while liquid food was given to smaller larvae (L₁ and L₂). Cassill & Tschinkel (1999) also reported that fire ant larvae preferred soluble proteins (amino acids) as compared to the solid form. Fig. 5 shows a photo of different stages of brood being fed with solid and liquid food respectively. The solid food was dyed tuna fish (blue) and liquid food was dyed sucrose (red).
Although our studies did not specifically identify the proteins, the changes in expression of high molecular weight proteins in L₄ larval could be associated with the large molecular weights storage proteins which are important for metamorphosis in numerous species of insects (Levenbook 1985, Shipman et al. 1987) and beetles (DeKort & Koopmanschap 1994; DeKort & Koopmanschap 1987; Duhamel & Kunkel 1983; Jamroz et al. 1996). Holometabolous insects in particular gather large quantities of protein during larval period as storage proteins, which are normally used during metamorphosis (Wheeler & Buck 1996). Metamorphosis in insects is a good example of a period when lack of food is coupled to a high demand for raw materials for building and remodeling tissues (Wheeler & Martinez 1995). These proteins are accumulated in times of dietary surplus and are subsequently used during shortfalls of protein supply. The lower expression of these proteins when treated with limited protein regime in our study could be due to intensified utilization of these proteins to ensure continuous
supply of proteins. Telang et al. (2002) also showed increased levels of storage proteins along with dietary protein levels.

Results from this study showed that larval protein profile in Pharaoh’s ants varies with different levels of dietary protein. Different stages of larvae may also have different roles and responsibilities in a colony. We foresee that colony conditions may also affect these proteins. These include the presence and absence of queens. However, further experiments are needed to verify these hypotheses.
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