

# Sublethal effects of deltamethrin and propoxur on longevity and reproduction of German cockroaches, *Blattella germanica*

# C.Y. Lee, H.H. Yap & N.L. Chong

School of Biological Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 Penang, Malaysia

Accepted: August 27, 1998

Key words: Blattella germanica, deltamethrin, propoxur, sublethal effects, longevity, reproduction

# Abstract

The effects of sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur, applied topically at  $LD_{10}$ ,  $LD_{30}$  and  $LD_{50}$  on German cockroaches, were studied by reciprocal crossing. Male and female longevities decreased curvilinearly with increasing sublethal doses of deltamethrin, and decreased linearly with increasing sublethal doses of propoxur. Fecundity of females treated with deltamethrin and propoxur was reduced with increasing sublethal doses of both insecticides. Oothecal production, oothecal hatchability and nymphal production also declined with increasing doses of deltamethrin and propoxur. Preoviposition and incubation periods were not affected by sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur, although some significant differences were observed at certain oothecal numbers. Twoway analysis of variance indicated that only treated females showed an effect on oothecal production while oothecal hatch and nymphal production were governed by both treated females and males. Insecticide susceptibility tests on the progeny of parents treated with sublethal doses demonstrated that these doses did not increase insecticidal tolerance in the F<sub>1</sub> generation.

### Introduction

The German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (Linn.) is the most important indoor urban insect pest in many parts of the world. Current control of this species relies heavily on the use of insecticides. The chance of a cockroach receiving lethal exposure from an insecticide deposit is a result of complicated and dynamic interactions between abiotic and biotic factors. Abiotic factors (e.g., substrate surface, temperature, volatilization of the insecticide, ambient humidity and light) and biotic factors (e.g., poor cuticular penetration and behavioural avoidance) may reduce insecticide performance (Rust, 1995). Often, the result of a cockroach encountering an insecticide deposit is a sublethal exposure, which may induce physiological and behavioural changes.

Gravid female German cockroaches subjected to sublethal amounts of an insecticide exposure have been reported to drop oothecae prematurely (Parker & Campbell, 1940; Harmon & Ross, 1987; 1988; Zhou & Le Patourel, 1990; Appel & Abd-Elghafar, 1990; Abd-Elghafar et al., 1991). In addition, exposure to sublethal amounts of propoxur also induced significant water loss in adult males at 2- and 24-hour post-treatment (Kramer et al., 1989).

Other sublethal effects of insecticides relate to longevity and reproduction. In this area, very few studies, except with the IGRs had been done on the German cockroach. Cochran (1985) reported that avermectin  $B_1$  at doses of  $\geq 6.5$  ppm was able to suppress reproduction of the German cockroach. Exposure to sublethal doses of chlorpyrifos-methyl at LD<sub>10</sub>, LD<sub>20</sub> and LD<sub>60</sub> significantly reduced the longevity and fecundity of adult female German cockroaches compared to untreated females (Hamilton & Schal, 1990). Abd-Elghafar & Appel (1992) have shown that longevity and fecundity of adult male and female German cockroaches were reduced with increasing sublethal doses of cyfluthrin and hydramethylnon. However, fecundity and longevity of adult females increased with increasing sublethal doses of chlorpyrifos.

An earlier study on fecundity involved crossmating of adult males and females treated with the same sublethal dose (e.g.,  $LD_{30} \times LD_{30}$ ,  $LD_{50} \times$ LD<sub>50</sub>). Females were then observed for reproduction and longevity (e.g., Abd-Elghafar & Appel, 1992). This however, may not reflect a completely realistic situation. It is assumed that under natural field conditions, cockroaches may very well mate randomly, sometimes even with partners which had survived insecticide poisoning. In addition, most previous studies reported were also unable to determine how and to what extent each sex which had been exposed to sublethal doses of insecticide affect various reproductive parameters. It is also of interest to determine whether sublethal doses of insecticide select for individuals which are more tolerant to insecticide and will then produce F<sub>1</sub> generations that show greater tolerance.

This study reports the sublethal effects of deltamethrin and propoxur on adult German cock-roaches. Both adult males and females which survived the  $LD_{10}$ ,  $LD_{30}$  and  $LD_{50}$ , and  $LD_0$  (as control) were subjected to reciprocal crossing and several reproductive parameters for each cross were observed. Progeny of males and females dosed differently were reared until adulthood and were tested to determine whether differences occurred in their susceptibility to insecticides at  $LD_{50}$ s determined at the parental generation.

# Materials and methods

*Insects.* The VCRU (Vector Control Research Unit) susceptible strain was used in this study. The history of this strain had been reported in Lee et al. (1996a). Late nymphs were separated from the mass culture and reared in 3-liter glass containers (200 cockroaches per container) at  $26 \pm 2$  °C,  $65 \pm 5\%$  r.h. and 12-h photoperiod. Food and water were provided *ad libitum*. Newly emerged adult cockroaches were segregated by sex every 12 h from the culture to prevent mating. Virgin cockroaches (male and female) aged 3–5 days were used in all studies.

*Insecticides.* Two technical grade insecticides, deltamethrin (provided by AgrEvo Environmental Health, Singapore) and propoxur (provided by Bayer AG, Germany) were used in this study. They were diluted in analytical grade acetone (purity: >99%).

Method of exposure. Insecticides were applied topically on cockroaches according to procedures de-

*Table 1.* Doses of deltamethrin and propoxur applied topically on adult male and female German cockroaches

LD	Dose of insecticide applied $(\mu g/\text{ insect})^a$						
	Deltam	ethrin	Propoxur				
	Male	Female	Male	Female			
0	0	0	0	0			
10	0.007	0.017	0.321	0.711			
30	0.009	0.0217	0.555	1.162			
50	0.012	0.0257	0.801	1.743			

<sup>a</sup>Dose values derived from LD<sub>50</sub>s in Lee et al. (1996a).

scribed earlier in Lee et al. (1996a, b). The three sublethal doses (LD<sub>10</sub>, LD<sub>30</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub>) used in this study (Table 1) were derived from probit analysis results generated in Lee et al. (1996a). Generally, adult males and females, immobilised with carbon dioxide (20 kpa) for 20 s, were treated on their first abdominal sternites with 1  $\mu$ l acetone containing a sublethal dose of insecticide. Control individuals were treated with 1  $\mu$ l of acetone alone. After treatment, groups of twenty cockroaches were placed in polyethylene containers (12 cm diam  $\times$  6 cm height), each lined with filter paper (Whatman No. 1, U.K), and provided with food and water. Mortality was assessed at 48 h post treatment. The survivors were isolated and used for subsequent study, provided that the observed mortalities for all doses did not deviate from their expected mortalities, based on  $\chi^2$  test at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

Fecundity and longevity studies. The survivors from the groups exposed to  $LD_0$ ,  $LD_{10}$ ,  $LD_{30}$  and  $LD_{50}$ were subjected to reciprocal crossing with ten replicates per cross. Each pair of cockroaches was kept in a polyethylene cup (Swordman No. 14729 size A-1; 5 cm diam  $\times$  10 cm height) with food and water provided *ad libitum*. A folded filter paper  $(3 \times 2 \text{ cm})$ was provided as harborage. All pairs were observed daily for the following parameters (Lee et al., 1996c): preoviposition period (period between hatching of an ootheca and the formation of the next one), incubation period (period between the formation and hatching of an ootheca), number of oothecae per female per lifetime, number of oothecae produced that hatched per lifetime, number of offsprings per hatched ootheca, and longevity of both adult males and females. Total number of offsprings produced per female was calculated from the number of offsprings per hatched ootheca. Individuals which died during the study were removed from the observation cups and were not replaced.

*Offsprings* ( $F_1$ ) *insecticide susceptibility.* Offsprings produced by the treated parents from the first oothecae of each cross were reared separately in 3-1 glass containers until reaching adulthood. Upon maturation, the males were isolated from the rearing container, cultured until 1-week of age and topically tested with a dose of insecticide that equalled the LD<sub>50</sub> for their parents. For each cross, 2–5 replicates of 15–20 males were used. The number of replicates and the number of cockroaches tested depended on the availability of adult males in each cross. Mortality of cockroaches was scored at 48 h post treatment and the percentage mortality was calculated at each replicate for each cross.

Preliminary study on mating behaviour. In order to ascertain whether decreases in fecundity might be due to changes in mating behaviour, a preliminary study was conducted on 5 replicate pairs of cockroaches treated with deltamethrin in the following crosses:  $LD_0$  [male] ×  $LD_0$  [female],  $LD_0$  [male] ×  $LD_{50}$ [female],  $LD_{50}$  [male] ×  $LD_0$  [female] and  $LD_{50}$ [male] ×  $LD_{50}$  [female]. Each pair was observed for mating activity every 30 min for 24 h post-pairing. During scotophase, observation was made under red light (light intensity and wavelength not determined).

Data analyses. All data were analyzed with analysis of variance (ANOVA) and means were separated using least significant difference (LSD) test, or Scheffe's S procedure. The relationship for each sex between insecticide dose applied and longevity was determined by regression analysis. The effects of males and females treated with different lethal doses of insecticide on reproduction parameters (total no. oothecae produced per female, total no. oothecae hatched per female and total no. offsprings per female) were determined with two-way analysis of variance. By using the mean value calculated for each cross, the relationship between insecticide dose applied on male and female insects, and each reproduction parameter was predicted by multiple regression. Predicted relationships were later used to establish response surface plots. The observed mortality of the F1 generation for each cross was tested with a  $\chi^2$  test at  $\alpha = 0.05$  to determine whether it deviated from its expected 50% mortality. All analyses were performed with a statistical software program, StatGraphics<sup>®</sup> Ver 5.0 (StatGraphics Inc, New York, U.S.A).

### Results

Adult longevity. Mean longevity of combined control (acetone-treated) males was  $107.6\pm5.6$  days (n = 80) with a mean ranging from  $93.8\pm7.8$  days to  $122.9\pm5.9$  days (Table 2). However, the means for control in deltamethrin and propoxur did not differ significantly (P > 0.05). Male longevity decreased curvilinearly with increasing sublethal doses of deltamethrin [ $y = (92.9\pm1.0) - (1.8\pm0.1)x + (0.020\pm0.002)x^2$ ;  $R^2 = 0.995$ ] and decreased linearly with increasing dose of propoxur [ $y = (121.4\pm1.7) - (0.8\pm0.2)x$ ;  $R^2 = 0.993$ ], where y = longevity of males (days) and x = level of sublethal dose of insecticide ( $0 \le x \le 50$ ).

Mean longevity of untreated female German cockroaches was  $142.3 \pm 7.8$  days (n = 80), with a mean ranging from  $135.7 \pm 9.8$  days to  $153.7 \pm 6.4$  days (Table 2). When treated with deltamethrin, female longevity decreased curvilinearly with increasing sublethal doses  $[y = 133.6 \pm 2.4) - (2.3 \pm 0.3)x + (0.015 \pm 0.3)x + (0.015$  $(0.005)x^2$ ;  $R^2 = 0.992$ ], where y =longevity of females (in days) and x = level of sublethal doses ( $0 \le x = 1$  $x \leq 50$ ). The longevity of those treated with LD<sub>30</sub> and  $LD_{50}$  was significantly lower (P < 0.05) than that of the untreated females (Table 2). Females treated with LD<sub>50</sub> of deltamethrin had their longevity reduced by 58% compared to untreated females. Longevity of females decreased linearly with increasing sublethal doses of propoxur [y =  $(153.4 \pm 0.5) - (1.0 \pm 0.5)$  $(0.1)x; R^2 = 0.999].$ 

*Oothecal production per female.* Mean total number of oothecae produced per female in the control was  $3.5 \pm 0.3$  oothecae (n = 20), with a mean ranging from  $3.2 \pm 0.8$  to  $3.7 \pm 0.8$  oothecae. The total oothecae produced by those females receiving LD<sub>50</sub> of deltamethrin (crossed with LD<sub>0</sub> to LD<sub>50</sub> treated males) ranged from only 14% (LD<sub>50</sub>[F] × LD<sub>50</sub>[M]) to 30% (LD<sub>50</sub>[F] × LD<sub>0</sub>[M]) of those produced by the untreated females (mean = 3.7 oothecae) in the deltamethrin category (Table 3)

When treated with propoxur, only crosses of  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{30}[M]$  and  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$  showed significantly lower (P < 0.05) oothecal production than the control (Table 3). This indicated that propoxur exhibits a less profound sublethal effect relative to the doses that cause lethal effects, when compared

Table 2. Effect of sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur on the longevity of the adult German cockroach

LD	Mean longevity $\pm$ S.E.M. (days) <sup>a</sup>								
	Deltamethrin		Propoxur						
	Male	Female	Male	Female					
0	$93.8\pm7.8a$	$135.7\pm9.8a$	$122.9\pm5.9a$	$153.7\pm~6.4a$					
10	$75.5\pm8.2ab$	$108.5\pm10.4ab$	$111.0\pm5.3a$	$143.3\pm~6.3a$					
30	$58.5\pm 6.2b$	$81.7 \pm 9.4 bc$	$99.7\pm4.8 bc$	$125.2\pm6.9ab$					
50	$53.4\pm4.2\text{b}$	$57.0 \pm 7.2c$	$80.7\pm7.5c$	$103.6\pm~11.7b$					

<sup>a</sup> Mean values followed by the same letters within the same column are not significantly different (P > 0.05; Scheffe's *S* procedure).

with deltamethrin. At these crosses, the treated females produced only 50% ( $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{30}[M]$ ) and 47% ( $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$ ) of the mean total oothecae produced by the control (3.2 oothecae).

The predicted relationships between the total no. oothecae per female, and insecticide dose on males and females were as follows: (1) deltamethrin:  $z = (3.510 \pm 0.215) - (0.024 \pm 0.016)x - (0.085 \pm 0.016)y - (0.0004 \pm 0.003)x^2 + (0.0012 \pm 0.003)y^2$ , where z = total no. oothecae produced per female  $(z \ge 0)$ , x = deltamethrin dose level applied on females  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = deltamethrin dose level applied on males  $(0 \le y \le 50)$ ,  $R^2 = 0.8854$ ; (2) propoxur:  $z = (3.215\pm0.218) - (0.039\pm0.017)x - (0.0012 \pm 0.0003)x^2$ , where z = total no. oothecae produced per female  $(z \ge 0)$ , x = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on males  $(0 \le x \le 50)$  and y = propoxur dose applied on males  $(0 \le y \le 50)$ ,  $R^2 = 0.7660$ .

*Oothecal viability and hatchability per female.* Not all oothecae that were produced were viable. Some of them were non-viable and were aborted. From this study, two distinct periods were observed during which non-viable oothecae were dropped. The first period ranged from day 1 to 7, while the second one ranged from day 20 to 50. The percentage of non-viable oothecae was highest at the first oothecal production in most crosses.

Increasing sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur caused a decline in oothecal viability (Table 3). The mean hatched oothecae produced by females treated with LD<sub>30</sub> and LD<sub>50</sub> doses of deltamethrin were only 0 to 30% of the total hatched oothecae produced by the untreated pairs (Table 3). When treated with propoxur, significant reduction in total no. hatched oothecae was only observed in the LD<sub>50</sub>[F]  $\times$  LD<sub>50</sub>[M] cross (Table 3). Only 27% of the oothecae produced by this group hatched. When com-

pared with that of deltamethrin, the effect of propoxur is seen to be less adverse.

For both deltamethrin and propoxur, the number of hatched oothecae decreased with increasing levels of sublethal doses applied on both female and male cockroaches. Predicted relationships between total no. hatched oothecae per female, insecticide dose level on females and males were as follows: (1) deltamethrin:  $z = (2.466 \pm 0.163) - (0.077 \pm 0.012)x - (0.047 \pm$  $(0.012)y + (0.0008 \pm 0.0002)x^2$ , where z =total no. hatched oothecae per female  $(z \le 0), x =$ deltamethrin dose level applied on females (0  $\leq$  $x \leq 50$  and y = deltamethrin dose level applied on males  $(0 \le y \le 50), R^2 = 0.9069$ ; (2) propoxur:  $z = (2.729 \pm 0.184) - (0.028 \pm 0.014)x - (0.018 \pm$  $(0.014)y - (0.0007 \pm 0.0003)x^2$ , where z = total no.hatched oothecae per female ( $z \ge 0$ ), x = propoxurdose level applied on females ( $0 \le x \le 50$ ) and y =propoxur dose level applied on males (0 < y < 50),  $R^2 = 0.8232.$ 

Total nymphal production per female. Mean total number of offsprings produced per female in the control was  $105.3 \pm 7.7$  (n = 20), with mean no. offsprings ranging from  $97.6 \pm 20.8$  (deltamethrin) to  $111.9 \pm 15.2$  (propoxur). Treatment with deltamethrin caused nymphal production to be reduced significantly (P < 0.05), compared to the control (Table 3). When one of the parents received a dose of LD<sub>30</sub> or above, the number of offsprings produced per female was reduced significantly. The nymphal production of female cockroaches upon treatment with LD<sub>30</sub> or LD<sub>50</sub> was reduced at least 73%, irrespective of whatever dose their partner received.

In the propoxur category, a significant decline (P < 0.05) in nymphal production, compared to the control, was observed in crosses of  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{30}[M]$ and  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$  when compared to that of

Cross		Mean total no. oothecae/female $\pm$ S.E. <sup>a</sup>		Mean total no. female $\pm$ S.E. <sup>3</sup>	hatched oothecae/	Mean total no. offspring produced/female $\pm$ S.E. <sup>a</sup>			
LD (fen	nale) $\times$ LD (male)								
		Deltamethrin	Propoxur	Deltamethrin	Propoxur	Deltamethrin	Propoxur		
0	0	$3.7\pm0.8~\mathrm{a}$	$3.2\pm0.4$ ab	$2.4\pm0.6~\mathrm{a}$	$2.7\pm0.6$ ab	$97.6\pm20.8~\mathrm{a}$	$111.9 \pm 15.2$ a		
	10	$2.5\pm0.7~\mathrm{abc}$	$3.5\pm0.3$ a	$1.7\pm0.6~\mathrm{abc}$	$2.5\pm0.6~\mathrm{ab}$	$61.3\pm23.3$ a–d	$95.5\pm22.8~\mathrm{ab}$		
	30	$2.2\pm0.4$ a–d	$3.0 \pm 0.3$ ab	$1.4\pm0.3$ a–d	$2.3\pm0.4~\mathrm{ab}$	$52.4\pm13.2~\mathrm{b}\mathrm{-e}$	$87.1 \pm 16.4$ ab		
	50	$2.2\pm0.4$ a–d	$2.6\pm0.5~\text{a-d}$	$1.3 \pm 0.4$ b–e	$2.3\pm0.5~\text{ab}$	$47.3\pm16.1\text{ b-f}$	$80.6\pm18.1~\mathrm{ab}$		
10	0	$3.4\pm0.7~\mathrm{a}$	$3.2\pm0.5~\mathrm{ab}$	$2.0 \pm 0.7$ ab	$3.3\pm0.5$ a	$68.2 \pm 23.3$ ab	$111.9 \pm 18.5$ a		
	10	$2.4\pm0.6~\mathrm{abc}$	$3.0\pm0.5~\mathrm{ab}$	$1.7\pm0.5~\mathrm{abc}$	$2.5\pm0.5~\mathrm{ab}$	$64.0 \pm 19.3$ abc	$92.1\pm16.8~\mathrm{ab}$		
	30	$1.5\pm0.4$ b–e	$3.4\pm0.5~\mathrm{a}$	$1.0\pm0.3$ b–f	$2.5\pm0.6~\mathrm{ab}$	$36.9 \pm 12.6 \text{ b-g}$	$83.6 \pm 21.1 \text{ ab}$		
	50	$2.2\pm0.5$ a–d	$2.9\pm0.4~\mathrm{abc}$	$0.3\pm0.2~\text{ef}$	$1.9\pm0.4~\text{ab}$	$10.2\pm~7.4~{ m fg}$	$63.6 \pm 14.3 \text{ abc}$		
30	0	$2.7\pm0.6$ ab	$3.3 \pm 0.4$ ab	$0.7\pm0.4$ c–f	$2.9\pm0.4$ ab	$26.4 \pm 13.9$ c–g	$106.0 \pm 16.3$ a		
	10	$1.4 \pm 0.5$ b–e	$3.5\pm0.5$ a	$0.5\pm0.3~{ m def}$	$2.4\pm0.7~\mathrm{ab}$	$18.4 \pm 12.4$ efg	$87.4\pm24.2~\mathrm{ab}$		
	30	$1.4\pm0.3$ b–e	$2.5\pm0.5$ a–d	0 f	$2.0\pm0.5~\mathrm{ab}$	0 g	$69.0\pm16.7~\mathrm{ab}$		
	50	$1.7 \pm 1.1$ b–e	$3.2\pm0.5~\text{ab}$	$0.1\pm0.1~{\rm f}$	$2.1\pm0.7~\text{ab}$	$3.6\pm~3.6~{ m g}$	$71.0\pm23.2~\text{ab}$		
50	0	$1.1 \pm 0.5$ cde	$2.2\pm0.6$ a–d	$0.7\pm0.4$ c–f	$2.2\pm0.6~\mathrm{ab}$	$23.3 \pm 13.1 \text{ d-g}$	$79.6 \pm 21.9$ ab		
	10	$0.8 \pm 0.3$ de	$2.0 \pm 0.4$ bcd	$0.1\pm0.1~{\rm f}$	$2.0 \pm 0.4$ ab	$2.5\pm~2.5~{ m g}$	$63.4 \pm 13.0 \text{ abc}$		
	30	$0.8 \pm 0.3$ de	$1.6\pm0.7~{ m cd}$	$0.2\pm0.2~{\rm f}$	$1.6 \pm 0.7 \text{ bc}$	$7.0 \pm 7.0 \mathrm{g}$	$48.7\pm20.8~\mathrm{bc}$		
	50	$0.5\pm0.2~\mathrm{e}$	$1.5\pm0.6~\mathrm{d}$	$0.1\pm0.1~{\rm f}$	$0.4\pm0.3~{\rm c}$	$2.9 \pm 2.9$ g	$13.2\pm10.2~\mathrm{c}$		

Table 3. Effect of sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur on the total number of oothecal production, hatchability and total number of offsprings produced per female

<sup>a</sup> Mean values followed by the same letters within the same column are not significantly different (P > 0.05; LSD test).

the control (Table 3). Females, when treated with  $LD_{50}$  and crossed with males which received the same propoxur dose, produced only 12% of the mean total number of nymphs produced by the untreated pairs. Overall, the effect of propoxur on nymphal production was less marked than that of deltamethrin.

The relationship between total number of offspring produced per female and insecticide dose on females and males was determined with regression analysis. It showed that nymphal production decreased with increasing sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur on both male and female cockroaches. The predicted relationships were as follows: (1) deltamethrin: z = $(93.445 \pm 6.094) - (2.980 \pm 0.464)x - (1.790 \pm$  $(0.464)y + (0.030 \pm 0.009)x^2 + (0.015 \pm 0.009)y^2$ where z = total no. offsprings produced per female $(z \ge 0), x =$  deltamethrin dose level applied on female  $(0 \ge x \ge 50)$  and y = deltamethrin dose level applied on male  $(0 \pm y \le 50), R^2 = 0.9105;$ (2) propoxur:  $z = (107.353 \pm 5.361) + (0.509 \pm$  $(0.408)x - (1.045 \pm 0.408)y - (0.021 \pm 0.007)x^2 +$  $(0.009 \pm 0.007)y^2$ , where z = total no. offsprings produced per female  $(z \ge 0), x =$  propoxur dose level

applied on female  $(0 \pm x \pm 50)$  and y = propoxur dose level applied on male  $(0 \le y \le 50)$ ,  $R^2 = 0.9033$ .

*Maternal and paternal effects on reproductive parameters.* When both parents were treated with insecticides, only the females showed an effect on oothecal production, based on two-way analysis of variance (Table 4). However, oothecal hatchability and nymphal production were governed by both maternal and paternal factors. Interaction between both sexes did not demonstrate an effect on any of the parameters measured.

*Preoviposition period.* The mean first preoviposition period (period between mating and the emergence of the first ootheca) for the three controls combined (n = 19) was  $8.8 \pm 0.3$  days (ranging from  $8.4 \pm 0.3$  to  $9.3 \pm 0.4$  days). Subsequent preoviposition periods were slightly longer than the first one (mean  $\pm$  S.E. =  $10.0 \pm 0.5$  days in the controls). Generally, there was no significant difference in preoviposition period between different crosses involving deltamethrin when compared with that of the control.

Table 4. Main effect and interaction of ANOVA values (F value, degree of
freedom [df], probability [P]) for total oothecal production, total oothecal
hatchability and total nymphal production per female

Parameter	Dependent variable <sup>a</sup> (F value, df, P)							
Independent variable								
	deltamethrin	propoxur						
Oothecal production								
Female	8.76, 3, <0.0001*	7.23, 3, 0.0001*						
Male	2.62, 3, 0.0529	0.95, 3, 0.4168						
Female $\times$ male	0.83, 3, 0.5874	0.40, 3, 0.9344						
Oothecal hatchability								
Female	12.82, 3, <0.0001*	3.00, 3, 0.0326*						
Male	3.07, 3, 0.0297*	3.04, 3, 0.0309*						
Female $\times$ male	1.17, 3, 0.3197	0.40, 3, 0.9351						
Nymphal production								
Female	14.87, 3, <0.0001*	4.19, 3, 0.0071*						
Male	3.57, 3, 0.0158*	4.19, 3, 0.0071*						
Female × male	1.38, 3, 0.2007	0.20, 3, 0.9934						

<sup>a</sup>An independent variable with an \* has a significant effect on the parameter studied.

*Incubation period.* Mean incubation period for the two controls combined was  $28.1 \pm 1.3$  days, with a mean ranging from  $26.8 \pm 0.3$  to  $29.3 \pm 0.3$ . In most crosses, the incubation period increased with oothe-cal number and did not differ significantly (P>0.05) between different crosses.

Susceptibility of the  $F_1$  generation to insecticide. The  $F_1$  progenies of all crosses for both deltamethrin and propoxur were shown to have a susceptibility comparable to their parents as their mortalities values did not deviate from the expected 50% (P > 0.05) when treated with LD<sub>50</sub> generated from the parental generation (Table 5). However, for deltamethrin, the progenies from five crosses (LD<sub>30</sub>[F] × LD<sub>30,50</sub>[M] and LD<sub>50</sub>[F] × LD<sub>10--50</sub>[M] were not tested due to insufficient number of cockroaches produced.

Preliminary study on mating behaviour. It was found that all replicate pairs of cockroaches in the control mated during 24 h post-pairing. No incidence of mating was observed for deltamethrin crosses of  $LD_{50}[F]$  $\times LD_0[M]$  and  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$ ; only 3 replicate pairs mated in  $LD_0[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$  during the observation period. Many times, the untreated male was observed to court the treated female through antenna sparring and wing-raising, but the female was unreceptive.

## Discussion

Reduction in adult longevity of the German cockroach upon treatment with sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur was observed in this study. Earlier, Abd-Elghafar & Appel (1992) reported similar findings with cyfluthrin; they suggested that increased respiration due to sustained muscle contraction and the release of a paralysis inducing stress factor were the reasons for the decrease in longevity.

The fecundity of female cockroaches in this study also declined upon exposure to sublethal doses of deltamethrin and propoxur. This could be due to the following possibilities: A direct toxic effect of the insecticide which had been left unmetabolized in the body of the parents may be transmitted into the eggs (Abd-Elghafar et al., 1991). This will decrease the oothecal viability. In this study, non-viable oothecae were dropped at 2 different periods. Those dropped between day 1–7 may be infertile oothecae (Hamilton & Schal, 1990), while those aborted after 20 days might be due to reduction in number of viable embryos caused by insecticidal toxic effects transmitted from the parent (Keil & Ross, 1977; Hamilton & Schal, 1990).

On the other hand, parental reproductive physiology may be disrupted due to disturbances of the neurosecretory system. Insect neurosecretory neurons are sensitive to low concentrations of pyrethroids

$LD(F) \times LD(M)$		Deltamethrin					Propoxur				
		n	No. repl.	% mortality $\pm$ S.E.M.	$\chi^2$	Р	п	No. repl.	% mortality $\pm$ S.E.M.	$\chi^2$	Р
0	0	100	5	57 ± 3.4	1.9	0.7541	100	5	$57 \pm 3.7$	2.1	0.7174
	10	100	5	$57\pm~5.4$	3.3	0.5089	100	5	$61\pm1.9$	2.7	0.6092
	30	100	5	$59\pm~7.0$	5.5	0.2397	100	5	$53\pm4.6$	1.9	0.7541
	50	100	5	$54\pm~4.3$	1.8	0.7725	100	5	$57\pm5.2$	3.1	0.5412
10 0	0	100	5	$52 \pm 4.6$	1.8	0.7725	100	5	$50 \pm 6.1$	3.0	0.5578
	10	100	5	$60\pm~5.2$	4.2	0.3796	100	5	$45\pm5.9$	3.3	0.5089
	30	60	3	$48 \pm 6.0$	0.9	0.6376	100	5	$56\pm3.7$	1.8	0.7725
	50	30	2 <sup>a</sup>	$57\pm~3.4$	0.5	0.4795	100	5	$52 \pm 7.8$	5.0	0.2873
30	0	60	3	$52 \pm 1.7$	0.1	0.9512	100	5	$53 \pm 2.0$	0.5	0.9735
	10	40	2	$55\pm10.0$	1.0	0.3173	100	5	$56\pm2.9$	1.4	0.8442
	30	_	_b	-	_	-	100	5	$49\pm 6.2$	3.1	0.5412
50	50	-	_b	_	-	-	100	5	$45\pm5.0$	2.5	0.6446
50	0	40	2	$55\pm~5.0$	0.4	0.5271	100	5	$52 \pm 6.6$	3.6	0.4628
	10	-	_b	_	_	_	100	5	$54 \pm 3.7$	1.4	0.8442
	30	-	_b	_	_	_	100	5	$39 \pm 4.0$	3.0	0.5578
	50	_	_b	_	_	_	60	3	$40 \pm 3.9$	1.8	0.4066

Table 5. Percentage mortality of F1 adult males when treated with LD50 of deltamethrin and propoxur

<sup>a</sup>Only 15 adult males were tested in each replicate.

<sup>b</sup>Not tested due to insufficient adult males.

and the neuroendocrine function can be disrupted (Soderlund & Bloomquist, 1989). As reproduction is neurohormone-regulated, neurohormone inbalances upon insecticide poisoning may affect the normal function (Maddrell & Reynolds, 1972).

Also, disruption of mating behaviour is likely to have an effect on the fecundity of the treated insects. In this study, mating activity was not recorded in  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_0[M]$  and  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$ deltamethrin-treated crosses within 24 hours postpairing in the preliminary study; however, in the  $LD_0[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$  combination, this activity was present. Treated females were observed to be unreceptive to male courtship. This suggests that the mating behaviour of female cockroaches treated with  $LD_{50}$ might have been affected.

Mating behaviour in insects consists of a complex series of behavioural events which are coordinated by the nervous and hormonal systems in a very precise manner. Each behavioural event may be affected by sublethal doses of insecticides, leading to reproductive failure. Effects on mate-locating, courtship and copulation time may also result in a decrease in offspring production (Haynes, 1988). Disruption of mating behaviour by sublethal doses of insecticides has been documented in many insects. Floyd & Crowder (1981) reported that permethrin-treated male pink bollworm moth (*Pectinophora gossypiella*) failed to respond by wing-fanning at low concentrations of pheromone. The male flight and courtship frequencies of the cabbage looper moth (*Trichoplusia ni*) also decreased upon contacting sublethal doses of cypermethrin and chlordimeform (Clark & Haynes, 1992a, b). The effect of sublethal doses of insecticides on mating behaviour of the German cockroach has never been fully documented and should be studied in future.

Doses of insecticides applied on adult females showed effects on oothecal production while this parameter was not dependent on the doses of insecticides applied on the adult males. This finding supported earlier reports that virgin female German cockroaches were capable of producing oothecae, although the oothecae will not hatch (Roth & Stay, 1962; Adiyodi & Adiyodi, 1974). For the hatching of oothecae and production of offsprings, the eggs must be fertilized and this is where paternal contribution will come in. This explains why insecticides applied on adult males have an effect on these two parameters. No interaction between insecticide dose levels applied on males and females were seen; this suggested that the effect of insecticides on both sexes was additive, rather than interactive.

All crosses (including  $LD_{50}[F] \times LD_{50}[M]$ ) did not cause an increase in insecticidal tolerance in the  $F_1$  generation. In an earlier review, Crow (1957) had listed some studies which also showed similar findings. This may be due to the low resistance gene frequency of the susceptible cockroach strain used in this study. If a similar study is conducted on a field strain, the results may be different. With a field collected strain of *Culex quinquefasciatus* mosquito, a 3-fold increase in malathion tolerance was obtained when mass-crossing between male and female survivors of  $LC_{50}$  was done (C.Y. Lee, unpublished).

One problem often faced in studies on sublethal effects of insecticides is the difficulty of differentiating whether the effect is due to insecticidal action or to the inherent characteristics of the insecticide-selected individuals (Croft, 1990). This is because resistant insects often have reduced reproductive potential and longevity when compared with their susceptible counterpart (Lee et al., 1996c). With the result obtained in this study (where it has been found that sublethal doses of insecticides did not increase tolerance in the  $F_1$  generation), the possibility of having reduced longevity and fecundity due to selection of resistant individuals is ruled out.

Although fecundity and longevity of adult cockroaches were reduced with sublethal deltamethrin and propoxur exposures, applications of lower rates of these insecticides should not be practised. Many studies have shown that sublethal amounts of insecticides induced dispersal of German cockroaches from treated surfaces (Bret & Ross, 1985, 1986a, b) and from its vapour (Wooster & Ross, 1989), thus resulting in control failures.

Abd-Elghafar & Appel (1992) predicted that if an insecticide reduced the longevity and fecundity of the adult German cockroach with increasing sublethal doses, a population exposed to sublethal amounts of this insecticide would decrease rapidly due to shorter longevity and will result in a distribution of predominantly small nymphs. If the prediction is accurate, similar findings will also be obtained with deltamethrin and propoxur. It would be interesting to study the effect of sublethal doses of insecticide on the ageclass distribution of the German cockroach population under field conditions; the information generated will ultimately help in better planning of insecticide application.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank three anonymous reviewers for their constructive criticisms and suggestions for improvement of the draft manuscript; Bayer AG (Germany) and AgrEvo Environmental Health (Singapore) for the supply of technical grade propoxur and deltamethrin, respectively; CT Ong (Statistical Department, Dynacraft) for his advice on statistical analyses; S.Y. Lim for his assistance on rearing of cockroaches and Universiti Sains Malaysia for a research grant that resulted in this paper.

### References

- Abd-Elghafar, S. F. & A. G. Appel, 1992. Sublethal effects of insecticides on adult longevity and fecundity of German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 85: 1809–1817.
- Abd-Elghafar, S. F., A. G. Appel & T. P. Mack, 1991. Effects of several insecticide formulations on oothecal drop and hatchability in German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 84: 502–509.
- Adiyodi, K. P. & R. G. Adiyodi, 1974. Control mechanisms in cockroach reproduction. Journal of Scientific Indian Research 33: 343–358.
- Appel, A. G. & S. F. Abd-Elghafar, 1990. Toxicity, sublethal effects, and performance of sulfluramid against the German cockroach (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 83: 1409–1414.
- Bret, B. L. & M. H. Ross, 1985. Insecticide-induced dispersal in the German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Orthoptera: Blattellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 78: 1293–1298.
- Bret, B. L. & M. H. Ross, 1986a. Behavioral responses of the German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Orthoptera: Blatellidae) to a propoxur formulation. Journal of Economic Entomology 79: 426–430.
- Bret, B. L. & M. H. Ross, 1986b. Effects of propoxur treatment on population containing susceptible and resistant German cockroaches (Orthoptera: Blattellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 79: 338–349.
- Clark, D. C. & K. F. Haynes, 1992a. Sublethal effects of cypermethrin on chemical communication, courtship and oviposition in the cabbage looper (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 85: 1771–1778.
- Clark, D. C. & K. F. Haynes, 1992b. Sublethal effects of chlordimeform on chemical communication and other reproductive behaviors in the female cabbage looper moth (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae). Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology 19: 105–117.
- Cochran, D. G., 1985. Mortality and reproduction effects of avermectin B<sub>1</sub> fed to German cockroaches. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 37: 83–88.
- Croft, B. A., 1990. Arthropod Biological Control Agents and Pesticides. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 723 pp.
- Crow, J. F., 1957. Genetics of insecticide resistance to chemicals. Annual Review of Entomology 2: 227–246.
- Floyd, J. P. & L. A. Crowder, 1981. Sublethal effects of permethrin on pheromone response and mating of male pink bollworm moths. Journal of Economic Entomology 74: 634–638.

- Hamilton, R. L. & C. Schal, 1990. Sublethal effects of chlorpyrifosmethyl on reproduction in female German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Journal of Economic Entomology 83: 441–443.
- Harmon, J. D. & M. H. Ross, 1987. Effects of propoxur exposure on females of the German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* and their oothecae. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 44: 269–275.
- Harmon, J. D. & M. H. Ross, 1988. Effects of malathion and diazinon exposure on female German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) and their oothecae. Proceedings of Entomological Society of Washington 90: 248–255.
- Haynes, K. F., 1988. Sublethal effects of neurotoxic insecticides on insect behavior. Annual Review of Entomology 33: 149–168.
- Keil, C. B. & M. H. Ross, 1977. An analysis of embryonic trapping in the German cockroach. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 22: 220–226.
- Kramer, R. D., P. G. Koehler, R. S. Patterson & F. Slansky, 1989. Effect of sublethal propoxur exposure on male German cockroaches (Orthoptera: Blattellidae) and their feeding behavior. Journal of Economic Entomology 82: 842–846.
- Lee, C. Y., H. H. Yap & N. L. Chong, 1996a. Insecticide toxicity on the adult German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Malaysian Journal of Science 17A: 1–9.
- Lee, C. Y., H. H. Yap, N. L. Chong & R. S. T. Lee, 1996b. Insecticide resistance and synergism in field collected German cockroaches (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae) in Peninsular Malaysia. Bulletin of Entomological Research 86: 675–682.

- Lee, C. Y., H. H. Yap & N. L. Chong, 1996c. Comparison of selected biological parameters of laboratory susceptible and field collected strains of the German cockroach, *Blattella germanica* (L.) (Dictyoptera: Blattellidae). Malaysian Journal of Science 17A: 37–48.
- Maddrell, S. H. P. & S. E. Reynolds, 1972. Release of hormones in insects after poisoning with insecticides. Nature 236: 404–406.
- Parker, B. M. & F. L. Campbell, 1940. Relative susceptibility of the oothecae and adult female of the German cockroach to liquid household insecticides. Journal of Economic Entomology 33: 610–614.
- Roth, L. M. & B. Stay, 1962. Oocyte development in *Blattella germanica* and *Blattella vaga* (Blattaria). Annals of Entomological Society of America 55: 633–642.
- Rust, M. K., 1995. Factors affecting control with insecticides. In: Rust, M. K., J. M. Owens & D. A. Reierson (eds): Understanding and Controlling the German Cockroach. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 149–169.
- Soderlund, D. M. & J. R. Bloomquist, 1989. Neurotoxic actions of pyrethroid insecticides. Annual Review of Entomology 34: 77– 96.
- Wooster, M. T. & M. H. Ross, 1989. Sublethal responses of the German cockroach to vapors of commercial pesticide formulations. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 52: 49–55.
- Zhou, J. J. & G. N. J. le Patourel, 1990. Hatching of oothecae from female Blattella germanica exposed to hydramethylnon and boric acid baits. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata 54: 131– 140.